

UNITED STATES
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR

RECEIVED
APR 20 2009

REGIONAL HEARING CLERK
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AGENCY

In the Matter of)

Kathryn Y. Lewis-Campbell)

Respondent)

) Docket No. TSCA-05-2009-0004
)
)
)

**PREHEARING ORDER and COMMENT REGARDING EPA'S MOTION
FOR PARTIAL ACCELERATED DECISION**

As you have been previously notified, I have been designated to preside in the above captioned matter. This proceeding arises under the authority of Section 16 (a) of the Toxic Substances Control Act ("TSCA"), 15 U.S.C. § 2615 (a), and pursuant to the EPA Consolidated Rules of Practice ("Rules"), applicable in this administrative enforcement proceeding, which are found in 40 C.F.R. §§ Part 22.

As provided in the Rules, §22.18(a), it is the Agency's policy to encourage settlement of proceedings without the necessity of a formal hearing. However, the continuation of settlement negotiations will not provide good cause for not meeting the following schedule set in this Prehearing Order.

The schedule can now be set for the filing of prehearing exchanges under 40 C.F.R. §22.19 in accord with the following procedure:

1. Each party shall submit a list of all expert and other witnesses it intends to call with a brief narrative summary of their expected testimony; and copies of all documents and exhibits it intends to introduce into evidence. The exhibits should include a resume for each proposed expert witness.
2. The Complainant shall submit a statement explaining in detail how the proposed penalty amount was determined, including a description of how the specific provisions of any EPA penalty or enforcement policies or guidelines were applied in calculating the penalty.
3. If the Respondent intends to take the position that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty, or that payment will have an adverse effect on Respondents' ability to continue in business, Respondent shall furnish supporting documentation such as financial statements or tax returns.
4. The Complainant shall submit a statement on the applicability of the Paperwork Reduction Act ("PRA"), 44 U.S.C. § 3501 *et seq.*, to this proceeding, including whether there is a current Office of Management and Budget control number involved and whether the provisions of Section 3512 of the PRA may apply to this case.

5. Each party shall submit its views on the place for the hearing pursuant to §§ 22.21(d) and 22.19(d) of the Rules. Each party should also indicate when they would be available for the hearing, and give an estimate of the time needed to present its direct case.


The Parties must simultaneously make their initial prehearing exchanges by **Monday, June 16, 2009**. If Respondent does not intend to present a direct case, but does wish to cross-examine Complainant's witnesses, it must submit a statement to that effect instead of a prehearing exchange. After the initial exchanges, the parties may file supplements to their prehearing exchanges (including any reply or rebuttal material), without motion, until 30 days before the date scheduled for the hearing. **Please be sure that copies are legible with printing on one side only. In addition to the paper filings required under the Rules for motions and post-hearing briefs, the parties are also to submit such filings on a CD so that the court may efficiently copy relevant portions from those documents into any orders and decisions it may issue.**

Upon completion of the prehearing exchanges, the parties are directed to confer with one another in order to determine those issues which remain genuinely in dispute, so that the hearing may be focused on such matters. The parties shall then submit a statement to me identifying those issues.

The original and one copy of all filings, with attachments, shall be sent to the Regional Hearing Clerk, and copies sent to the opposing party and the Administrative Law Judge.

The parties are reminded that, pursuant to the Consolidated Rules of Practice, at the hearing an original and one copy of each exhibit shall be filed with the undersigned Presiding Judge for the record (an exhibit notebook binder is appreciated) and a copy furnished to each party. A true copy of any exhibit may be substituted for the original.

Regarding EPA's Motion for Partial Accelerated Decision, the Court advises that this Prehearing Order operates independently of that motion. Respondent should be mindful of the procedural rules' provisions regarding timely responses to motions. See 40 C.F.R. § 22.16(b) and related provisions.



William B. Moran
United States Administrative Law Judge

Dated: April 17, 2009
Washington, DC

If sending by:

Fed Ex, UPS or any type of Courier service

Judge William B. Moran
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Administrative Law Judges
Franklin Court Building
1099 14th Street N.W. Suite 350
Washington, DC 20460

Office: 202-564-6255

Fax: 202-565-0044

If sending by:

Regular U.S. mail

Judge William B. Moran
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Administrative Law Judges
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Mail Code 1900L
Washington, DC 20005

In the Matter of Kathryn Y. Lewis-Campbell, Respondent
Docket No. TSCA-05-2009-0004

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing **Prehearing Order**, dated April 17, 2009, was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees listed below:

Original and copy by pouch mail to:

Tywanna Greene
Regional Hearing Clerk
U.S. EPA
77 W. Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, IL 60604

RECEIVED
APR 20 2009

**REGIONAL HEARING CLERK
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AGENCY**


Copy by regular mail to:

Attorney for Complainant:

Richard Wagner, Esq.
Assistant Regional Counsel
U.S. EPA
77 W. Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, IL 60604

Respondent:

Cassandra Collier-Williams, Esq.
Law Offices of Cassandra Collier-Williams, LLC
2103 St. Clair Avenue, 2nd Floor
Cleveland, OH 44114



Knolyn R. Jones
Legal Staff Assistant

Dated: April 17, 2009
Washington, DC